arXiv:1606.06680v1 [math.GT] 21 Jun 2016

THE DIFFEOLOGY OF MILNOR'S CLASSIFYING SPACE

JEAN-PIERRE MAGNOT AND JORDAN WATTS

Abstract. We define a diffeology on the Milnor classifying space of a diffeological group G, constructed in a similar fashion to the topological version. Besides obtaining the expected classification theorem for smooth principal bundles, we prove the existence of a diffeological connection on any principal bundle (with mild conditions on the bundles and groups), and apply the theory to some examples, including some infinite-dimensional groups, as well as irrational tori.

1. Introduction

Let G be a topological group with a reasonable topology (Hausdorff, paracompact, and second-countable, say). Milnor [Mi] constructed a universal topological bundle $EG \to BG$ with structure group G satisfying:

- for any principal G-bundle $E \to X$ over a space (again, assume Hausdorff, paracompact, and second-countable) there is a continuous "classifying map" $F\colon X \to BG$ for which E is G-equivariantly homeomorphic to the pullback bundle F^*EG,
- any continuous map $F\colon X \to BG$ induces a bundle F^*EG with F a classifying map, and
- any two principal G-bundles are isomorphic if and only if their classifying maps are homotopic.

To push this classification into the realm of Lie groups and smoothness, one would need smooth structures on the spaces EG and BG. Many approaches exist, which extend to infinite-dimensional groups (see, for example, [Mo], [KM, Theorem 44.24]). The main point here is that EG and BG are not typically manifolds, and so a more general smooth structure is required.

In this paper, we take the approach of diffeology. The language is quite friendly, allowing one to differentiate and apply other analytical tools with ease to infinite-dimensional groups such as diffeomorphism groups, including those of non-compact manifolds, as well as projective limits of groups, including some groups which appear naturally in the ILB setting of Omori [O], and may not exhibit atlases. Moreover, we can include in this paper interesting groups which are not typically considered as topological groups. For example, irrational tori (see Example 5.3) have trivial topologies (and hence have no atlas) and only constant smooth functions; however, they have rich diffeologies, which hence are ideal structures for studying the groups.

Key words and phrases. diffeology; classifying space; universal bundle; diffeological group; Lie group.

Irrational tori appear in important applications, such as pre-quantum bundles on a manifold associated to non-integral closed 2-forms (see Subsection 5.6). Another benefit of using diffeology is that we can directly use the language to construct connection 1-forms on EG. Our main source for the preliminaries on diffeology is the book by Iglesias-Zemmour [IZ13].

Our main results include Theorem 3.6, which states that there is a natural bijection between isomorphism classes of so-called D-numerable principal G-bundles over a Hausdorff, second-countable, smoothly paracompact diffeological space X, and smooth homotopy classes of maps from X to BG. This holds for any diffeological group G. As well, we prove Theorem 4.3, which states that if G is a regular diffeological Lie group, and X is Hausdorff and paracompact, then any D-numerable principal G-bundle admits a connection; in particular, such a bundle admits horizontal lifts of smooth curves. These theorems provide a method for constructing classifying spaces different, for example, to what Kriegl and Michor do in [KM, Theorem 44.24] with $G = \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ for M a compact smooth manifold, where they show that the space of embeddings of M into ℓ^2 yields a classifying space for G.

Our framework is applied to a number of situations. We show that EG is contractible (Proposition 5.1), which allows us to study the homotopy of BG (Proposition 5.2). We also study smooth homotopies between groups, and how these are reflected in classifying spaces and principal bundles (Subsection 5.2). We transfer the theory to general diffeological fibre bundles via their associated principal G-bundles (Corollary 5.9) and discuss horizontal lifts in this context (Proposition 5.11). We also transfer the theory to diffeological limits of groups, with an application to certain ILB principal bundles (Proposition **??**). We show that a short exact sequence of diffeological groups induces a long exact sequence of diffeological homotopy groups of classifying spaces (Proposition 5.19), and apply this to a short exact sequence of pseudo-differential operators and Fourier integral operators (Example 5.21). Finally, we apply this theory to irrational torus bundles, which are of interest in geometric quantisation [We], [IZ95], [IZ13, Articles 8.40-8.42] and the integration of certain Lie algebroids [Cr].

This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 gives the necessary prerequisites in diffeological theory for this paper; in particular, we review diffeological groups (including diffeological Lie groups and regular groups), as well as diffeological fibre bundles. In Section 3 we construct the diffeological version of the Milnor classifying space, $EG \to BG$, and prove Theorem 3.6. In Section 4, we introduce the theory of connections from the diffeological point-of-view, and prove Theorem 4.3. Finally, in Section 5, we have our applications.

A few open questions are inspired. The conditions on the D-topology (in particular, Hausdorff and second-countable conditions, and sometimes smooth paracompactness as well) seem out-of-place in the general theory of diffeology. Even though these conditions are satisfied in our examples, in some sense, topological conditions and arguments should be *replaced* with diffeological conditions and arguments. This leads to the first question.

Question 1. Under what conditions is the D-topology of a diffeological space Hausdorff, second-countable, and smoothly paracompact? Can one weaken these conditions?

An answer to this would allow us to rephrase Theorem 3.6 in a manner more natural to diffeology. A partial answer, for example, is to require that the smooth real-valued functions separate points: in this case, the weakest topology induced by the functions is Hausdorff, and this is a sub-topology of the D-topology. It follows that the D-topology is Hausdorff.

Another question is an obvious one:

Question 2. Given a diffeological group G, if $E \to X$ is a principal G-bundle satisfying necessary mild conditions, and the total space E is diffeologically contractible, is $E \to X$ **universal** in the sense that any principal G-bundle over a sufficiently nice diffeological space Y has a unique (up to smooth homotopy) classifying map to X? (The answer is affirmative in the topological category.)

The theme of universality continues:

Question 3. Let G be a regular diffeological Lie group (Definition 2.22), and let $E \to X$ be a principal G-bundle in which the D-topology on X satisfies mild conditions. Is every diffeological connection, or even every connection 1-form, the pullback of the diffeological connection (or connection 1-form) constructed on $EG \to BG$ in Theorem 4.7?

As mentioned above, Kriegl and Michor give a classifying space for the group $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ where M is a compact smooth manifold, and also show that this classifying space has a universal connection. However, the proof uses the fact that every such M has an isometric embedding into some Euclidean space, and we no longer have this advantage for general diffeological groups.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Patrick Iglesias-Zemmour and the other organisers of the "Workshop on Diffeology, etc.", held in Aix-en-Provence in June 2014, where the discussions that lead to this paper began.

2. Preliminaries

This section provides background on diffeology and related topics necessary for the rest of this paper. The main reference is [IZ13], and the reader should consult this for proofs that the product, subset, and quotient diffeologies are indeed diffeologies (Articles 1.55, 1.33, 1.50 respectively), as well as a different but equivalent definition of diffeological fibre bundles (Articles 8.8 and 8.9).

2.1. Basics of Diffeology.

In this subsection we review the basics of the theory of diffeological spaces; in particular, their definition, categorical properties, as well as their induced topology.

Definition 2.1 (Diffeology). Let X be a set. A **parametrisation** of X is a map of sets $p\colon U \to X$ where U is an open subset of Euclidean space (no fixed dimension). A **diffeology** $\mathcal{D}$ on X is a set of parametrisations satisfying the following three conditions:

(1) (Covering) For every $x \in X$ and every non-negative integer n, the constant function $p\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \{x\} \subseteq X$ is in $\mathcal{D}$.

(2) (Locality) Let $p\colon U \to X$ be a parametrisation such that for every $u \in U$ there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of u satisfying $p|_V \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $p \in \mathcal{D}$.
(3) (Smooth Compatibility) Let $(p\colon U \to X) \in \mathcal{D}$. Then for every n, every open subset $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and every smooth map $F\colon V \to U$, we have $p \circ F \in \mathcal{D}$.

A set X equipped with a diffeology $\mathcal{D}$ is called a **diffeological space**, and is denoted by $(X, \mathcal{D})$. When the diffeology is understood, we will drop the symbol $\mathcal{D}$. The parametrisations $p \in \mathcal{D}$ are called **plots**.

Definition 2.2 (Diffeologically Smooth Map). Let $(X, \mathcal{D}_X)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{D}_Y)$ be two diffeological spaces, and let $F\colon X \to Y$ be a map. Then we say that F is **diffeologically smooth** if for any plot $p \in \mathcal{D}_X$,

$$F \circ p \in \mathcal{D}_Y.$$

Diffeological spaces with diffeologically smooth maps form a category, which we will denote as **Diffeol**. This category is complete and co-complete, and forms a quasi-topos (see [BH]). In particular, we have the following constructions.

Definition 2.3 (Product Diffeology). Let $\{(X_i, \mathcal{D}_i)\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of diffeological spaces. Then the **product diffeology** on $X = \Pi_{i\in I} X_i$ contains a parametrisation $p\colon U \to X$ as a plot if for every $i \in I$, the map $\mathrm{pr}_i \circ p$ is in $\mathcal{D}_i$. Here, pr_i is the canonical projection map $X \to X_i$.

Definition 2.4 (Subset Diffeology). Let $(X, \mathcal{D})$ be a diffeological space, and let $Y \subseteq X$. Then Y comes equipped with the **subset diffeology**, which is the set of all plots in $\mathcal{D}$ with image in Y.

Definition 2.5 (Quotient Diffeology). Let $(X, \mathcal{D})$ be a diffeological space, and let $\sim$ be an equivalence relation on X. Let $\pi\colon X \to X/\sim$ be the quotient map. Then $X/\sim$ comes equipped with the **quotient diffeology**, which is the set of all parametrisations that locally factor through π. More precisely, a map $p\colon U \to X/\sim$ is a plot if for any $u \in U$ there exist an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of u and a plot $q \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$p|_V = \pi \circ q.$$

Definition 2.6 (Diffeological Homotopy). Let X and Y be diffeological spaces and let $f_i\colon X \to Y$, $i \in \{0, 1\}$ be smooth maps. f_0 and f_1 are **(diffeologically) homotopic** if there is a smooth map $H\colon X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ such that $H(\cdot, 0) = f_0$ and $H(\cdot, 1) = f_1$. We call the map H a **(diffeological) homotopy**.

Definition 2.7 (D-Topology). Let $(X, \mathcal{D})$ be a diffeological space. Then the **D-topology** on X is the finest topology on X for which all of the plots $p \in \mathcal{D}$ are continuous.

Remark 2.8.

(1) Diffeologically smooth maps are continuous with respect to the D-topology.
(2) The D-topology of the quotient diffeology matches the quotient topology.
(3) The D-topology induced by the subset diffeology generally only contains the subspace topology (consider $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$).

(4) The D-topology induced by the product diffeology generally contains the product topology. However, as proved by Christensen, Sinnamon, and Wu in [CSW, Lemma 4.1], if the D-topology of a diffeological space Y is locally compact, then for any diffeological space X, the D-topology of the product $X \times Y$ is equal to the product topology.

Definition 2.9 (Smoothly Paracompact). Let X be a diffeological space. Then the D-topology of X is **smoothly paracompact** if it is paracompact (any open cover admits a locally finite open refinement), and there is an open cover of X admitting a smooth partition of unity subordinate to it.

2.2. **Diffeological Groups.** In this subsection we review diffeological groups and their actions. We then introduce the internal tangent bundle of a diffeological space with the goal of obtaining a Lie algebra for certain diffeological groups admitting an exponential map. For more details on the basics of diffeological groups, see [IZ13, Chapter 7]. For more on internal tangent bundles, see [CW]. For more details on diffeological Lie groups, see [Le] and [KM] (although the latter reference does not deal with the diffeological case).

Definition 2.10 (Diffeological Groups and their Actions). A **diffeological group** is a group G equipped with a diffeology such that the multiplication map $m\colon G \times G \to G$ and the inversion map $\mathrm{inv}\colon G \to G$ are smooth. A **diffeological group action** of G on a diffeological space X is a group action in which the map $G \times X \to X$ sending (g, x) to $g \cdot x$ is smooth. Fixing $g \in G$, denote by L_g left multiplication by g, and by R_g right multiplication by g.

In order to begin associating a Lie algebra to certain diffeological groups, we need to establish the theory of tangent spaces and bundles to a diffeological space.

Definition 2.11 (Internal Tangent Space). Let $(X, \mathcal{D})$ be a diffeological space, and fix $x \in X$. Let $\mathbf{Plots_0}(\mathcal{D}, x)$ be the category whose objects are plots $(p\colon U \to X) \in \mathcal{D}$ for which U is connected, $0 \in U$, and $p(0) = x$; and whose arrows from $p\colon U \to X$ to $q\colon V \to X$ are commutative triangles



where $f\colon U \to V$ is smooth and $f(0) = 0$. Let F be the forgetful functor from $\mathbf{Plots}_0(\mathcal{D}, x)$ to $\mathbf{Open}_0$, the category whose objects are connected open subsets of Euclidean spaces containing 0 and whose arrows are smooth maps between these that fix 0. F sends a plot to its domain, and a commutative triangle as above to $f\colon U \to V$. Finally, let $\mathbf{Vect}$ be the category of vector spaces with linear maps between them, and let $T\colon \mathbf{Open}_0 \to \mathbf{Vect}$ be the functor sending U to T_0U and $f\colon U \to V$ to $f_*|_0\colon T_0U \to T_0V$. Then define the **internal tangent space** T_xX to be the colimit of the functor $T \circ F$. Denote by TX the set $\bigsqcup_{x \in X} T_xX$.

In order to equip TX with a suitable diffeology, we need a few more definitions.

Definition 2.12 (Induced Tangent Maps). Let $p\colon U \to X$ be a plot of a diffeological space X. Define $Tp\colon TU \to TX$ to be the map that sends $v \in T_uU$ to

the element in $T_{p(u)}X$ given by $(p \circ \mathrm{tr}_u)_*((\mathrm{tr}_{-u})_* v)$, where tr_u is the translation in Euclidean space sending $U - u$ to U. If $c\colon \mathbb{R} \to X$ is a smooth curve, then by $\frac{dc}{dt}$ we mean $c_* \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)$ where $\frac{d}{dt}$ is the constant section $\mathbb{R} \to T\mathbb{R}\colon t \mapsto (t, 1)$.

Definition 2.13 (Diffeological Vector Spaces). A **diffeological vector space** is a vector space V over $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with a diffeology such that addition $+\colon V \times V \to V$ and scalar multiplication $\cdot\colon \mathbb{R} \times V \to V$ are smooth.

We use the terminology of [P], but continue to follow [CW].

Definition 2.14 (Diffeological Pseudo-Bundles). A **(diffeological) vector pseudo-bundle** $\pi\colon E \to X$ is a pair of diffeological spaces E and X with a smooth map $\pi\colon E \to X$ between them such that for each $x \in X$ the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a diffeological vector space. Moreover, fibre-wise addition $+\colon E \times_X E \to E$, scalar multiplication $\cdot\colon \mathbb{R} \times E \to E$, and the zero section $X \hookrightarrow E$ are required to be smooth.

Remark 2.15. Let $\pi\colon E \to X$ be a smooth map between diffeological spaces such that for each x, the fibre $\pi^{-1}(x)$ is a diffeological vector space. Then there is a smallest diffeology on E that contains the original diffeology on E and so that π is a vector pseudo-bundle; see [CW, Proposition 4.6].

Definition 2.16 (Internal Tangent Bundle). Define the **internal tangent bundle** of a diffeological space X to be the set $TX := \bigsqcup_{x \in X} T_x X$ equipped with the smallest diffeology $T\mathcal{D}$ such that

(1) TX is a vector pseudo-bundle,
(2) for each plot $p\colon U \to X$, the induced map $Tp\colon TU \to TX$ is smooth.

Remark 2.17. T is a functor, sending diffeological spaces $(X, \mathcal{D})$ to $(TX, T\mathcal{D})$. Consequently, we get the chain rule: given smooth maps $f\colon X \to Y$ and $g\colon Y \to Z$, we have that $T(g \circ f) = Tg \circ Tf$. Moreover, T respects products: let X and Y be diffeological spaces. Then $T(X \times Y) \cong TX \times TY$ [CW, Proposition 4.13].

We now arrive at an important generalisation of what is very well known in standard Lie group theory.

Theorem 2.18 (Tangent Bundle of a Diffeological Group). *Let G be a diffeological group with identity element e. Then, TG is isomorphic as a vector pseudo-bundle to $G \times T_e G$.*

Proof. This is [CW, Theorem 4.15]. The diffeomorphism is given by sending $v \in T_g G$ to $(g, (L_{g^{-1}})_* v)$. □

Diffeological groups admit adjoint actions of G on $T_{1_G} G$.

Definition 2.19 (Adjoint Action). Let G be a diffeological group, and let C be the (smooth) **conjugation action**: $C\colon G \times G \to G\colon (g, h) \mapsto ghg^{-1}$. Define the **adjoint action** of G on $T_{1_G} G$ to be the (smooth) action $g \cdot \xi := TC_g(\xi)$ for all $\xi \in T_e G$.

This does not immediately give us a well-defined infinitesimal adjoint action (*i.e.* Lie bracket) on $T_{1_G} G$. This issue is resolved by Leslie in [Le]. However, we do not need this for our purposes.

Definition 2.20 (Diffeological Lie Group). A diffeological group G is a **diffeological Lie group** if

(1) for every $\xi \in T_eG$ there exists a smooth real-valued linear functional $\ell\colon T_eG \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\ell(\xi) \neq 0$,
(2) the plots of $T_{1_G}G$ smoothly factor through a map $\varphi\colon V \to T_eG$, where V is an open subset of a complete Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space.

Remark 2.21 ($T_{1_G}G$ is a Lie Algebra). Let G be a diffeological Lie group. Then $T_{1_G}G$ is a Lie algebra under the infinitesimal adjoint action ([Le, Theorem 1.14]). In this case, we denote $T_{1_G}G$ by $\mathfrak{g}$.

Finally, in order to associate curves in G with curves in $\mathfrak{g}$, we need to introduce the notion of a *regular* Lie group, following [Le], [KM, Section 38], and [O]. This correspondence is important when obtaining horizontal lifts of fibre bundles from connection 1-forms later in the paper.

Definition 2.22. A diffeological Lie group G is **regular** if there is a smooth map

$$\exp\colon C^\infty([0,1],\mathfrak{g}) \to C^\infty([0,1],G)$$

sending a smooth curve $\xi(t)$ to a smooth curve $g(t)$ such that $g(t)$ is the unique solution of the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} g(0) = e, \\ (R_{g(t)^{-1}})_* \frac{dg(t)}{dt} = \xi(t). \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.23. Unless specified otherwise, we take the subset diffeology on $[0,1] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ throughout the paper.

Remark 2.24. Not all diffeological groups are regular. For example, the diffeological group $\mathrm{Diff}_+(0,1)$ see [Ma11] or [Ma15, Subsection 2.5.2].

Remark 2.25. If G is a regular diffeological Lie group, then any $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a germ of a smooth path $c(t) = \exp(tv)$. This fact is not guaranteed when G is not regular, as in this case $v \in T_{1_G}G$ is only a sum of germs, and not necessarily a germ on its own. This explains the different notations and definitions found in [Ma13] for Frölicher Lie groups. However, when G is regular and $\mathfrak{g}$ complete, the different definitions coincide.

2.3. **Diffeological Fibre Bundles.** We now review diffeological fibre bundles. Instead of using the definition given in [IZ13, Article 8.8], we take the equivalent definition below (see [IZ13, Article 8.9]).

Definition 2.26 (Diffeological Fibre Bundles). Let $\pi\colon E \to X$ be a smooth surjective map of diffeological spaces.

(1) Define the **pullback** of $\pi\colon E \to X$ by a smooth map $f\colon Y \to X$ of diffeological spaces to be the set

$$f^*E\colon = \{(y,e) \in Y \times E \mid f(y) = \pi(e)\}$$

equipped with the subset diffeology induced by the product. It comes with the two smooth maps $\tilde{f}\colon f^*E \to E$ and $\pi_f\colon f^*E \to Y$ induced by the projection maps, the latter of which is also surjective.

(2) $\pi\colon E \to X$ is **trivial** with **fibre** F if there is a diffeological space F and a diffeomorphism $\varphi\colon E \to X \times F$ making the following diagram commute.



(3) $\pi\colon E \to X$ is **locally trivial** with fibre F if there exists an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ in the D-topology on X such that for each α, the pullback of $\pi\colon E \to X$ to U_α via the inclusion map is trivial with fibre F. The collection $\{(U_\alpha, \varphi_\alpha)\}_\alpha$, where the diffeomorphism $\varphi_\alpha\colon E|_{U_\alpha} \to U_\alpha \times F$ is as above, make up a **local trivialisation** of π.

(4) We say that $\pi\colon E \to X$ is a **diffeological fibration** or **diffeological fibre bundle** if for every plot $p\colon U \to X$, the pullback bundle p^*E is locally trivial.

(5) Let G be a diffeological group. We say that a diffeological fibre bundle $P \to X$ is a **principal G-bundle** if G acts smoothly, freely, and transitively on the fibres of $P \to X$. We denote the action by $y \cdot g$ for $y \in E$ and $g \in G$.

(6) We say that a diffeological fibre bundle is **weakly D-numerable** if there exists a local trivialisation $\{U_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of X and a pointwise-finite smooth partition of unity $\{\zeta_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of X such that $\zeta_i^{-1}((0,1]) \subseteq U_i$ for each i. We say that a weakly D-numerable diffeological fibre bundle is **D-numerable** if the local trivialisation can be chosen to be locally finite and the smooth partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_i\}$.

Remark 2.27. Diffeological fibre bundles pull back to diffeological fibre bundles. Moreover, trivial bundles pull back to trivial bundles. Consequently, (weakly) D-numerable bundles pull back to (weakly) D-numerable bundles.

Remark 2.28. Not every diffeological fibre bundle is locally trivial. The 2-torus modulo the irrational Kronecker flow is such an example [IZ13, Article 8.38].

3. Milnor's Classifying Space

We begin this section with the construction of a classifying space of a diffeological group G, completely in the diffeological category (Proposition 3.4). Under certain topological constraints on a diffeological space X, we get the desired natural bijection between homotopy classes of diffeologically smooth maps $X \to BG$ and D-numerable principal G-bundles over X (see Theorem 3.6).

3.1. The Milnor Construction.

We construct Milnor's classifying space in the diffeological category for a diffeological group G. This results in a diffeological fibre bundle $\pi\colon EG \to BG$ that is at least weakly D-numerable.

Definition 3.1 (Join Operation). Let $\{X_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of diffeological spaces. Define the **join** $\bigstar_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ of this family as follows. Take the subset S of the product $(\Pi_{i\in\mathbb{N}}[0,1]) \times (\Pi_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i)$ consisting of elements $(t_i, x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in which only finitely many of the t_i are non-zero, and $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} t_i = 1$. Equip S with the subset diffeology induced by the product diffeology. Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation on S given by: $(t_i, x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \sim (t'_i, x'_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ if

(1) $t_i = t'_i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and
(2) if $t_i = t'_i \neq 0$, then $x_i = x'_i$.

Then the join is the quotient $S/\sim$ equipped with the quotient diffeology. We denote elements of $\bigstar_{i\in\mathbb{N}} X_i$ by $(t_i x_i)$.

Definition 3.2 (Classifying Space). Let G be a diffeological group. Define $EG = \bigstar_{i\in\mathbb{N}} G$. There is a natural right action of G on EG given by $(t_i g_i) \cdot h = (t_i g_i h^{-1})$ induced by the diagonal action of G on $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ and the trivial action on $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}}$. Denote the quotient EG/G by BG and elements of BG by $[t_i g_i]$. This is the **(diffeological) Milnor classifying space** of G. Since we will make use of it, denote by S_G the subset of $[0,1]^{\mathbb{N}} \times G^{\mathbb{N}}$ corresponding to the set S in Definition 3.1.

Proposition 3.3. *The action of G on EG is smooth.*

Proof. We want to show that the map $a_E \colon EG \times G \to EG$ sending $((t_i g_i), h)$ to $(t_i g_i h^{-1})$ is smooth. Let $p \colon U \to EG \times G$ be a plot. It is enough to show that $a_E \circ p$ locally lifts to a plot of S_G via the quotient map $\pi \colon S_G \to EG$. Let pr_i $(i = 1, 2)$ be the natural projection maps on $EG \times G$. Then there exist an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of U and for each α a plot $q_\alpha \colon U_\alpha \to S_G$ such that

$$\mathrm{pr}_1 \circ p|_{U_\alpha} = \pi \circ q_\alpha.$$

Let $a \colon S_G \times G \to S_G$ be the smooth map sending $((t_i, g_i), h)$ to $(t_i, g_i h^{-1})$. Then,

$$a_E \circ p|_{U_\alpha} = \pi \circ a(q_\alpha, \mathrm{pr}_2 \circ p|_{U_\alpha}),$$

where the right-hand side is a plot of EG. □

Proposition 3.4 ($EG \to BG$ is Principal). *$EG \to BG$ is a weakly D-numerable principal G-bundle.*

Proof. Define for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $s_j \colon EG \to [0,1]$ by $s_j(t_i g_i) := t_j$. These are diffeologically smooth, hence continuous with respect to the D-topology. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ define the open set

$$V_j := s_j^{-1}(0,1] = \{(t_i g_i) \mid t_j > 0\}.$$

Since for any point $(t_i g_i) \in EG$ we have that $\sum t_i = 1$, it follows that $\{V_j\}$ forms an open cover of EG. Since each V_j is G-invariant, setting $U_j := \pi(V_j)$ we get an open covering $\{U_j\}$ of BG.

Define for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the map $\varphi_j \colon V_j \to U_j \times G$ by

$$\varphi_j(t_i g_i) := ([t_i g_i g_j^{-1}], g_j).$$

This map is well-defined, smooth, and G-equivariant where G acts trivially on BG and by right multiplication on itself: $g \cdot k = gk^{-1}$. Moreover, φ_j is invertible with smooth inverse:

$$\varphi_j^{-1}([t_i g_i], k) = (t_i g_i k)$$

where we set $g_j = 1_G$. Thus, $\{(U_j, \varphi_j)\}$ is a local trivialisation of $EG \to BG$. It follows that $EG \to BG$ is a locally trivial diffeological principal G-bundle.

Finally, for each $(t_i g_i) \in EG$,

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} s_j(t_i g_i) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} t_j = 1,$$

and each s_j is G-invariant and so descends to a smooth map $\zeta_j \colon BG \to [0,1]$ with $\zeta_j^{-1}(0,1] = U_j$. The collection $\{\zeta_j\}$ is a pointwise-finite smooth partition of unity on BG, and so we have shown that $\pi \colon EG \to BG$ is weakly D-numerable. □

3.2. **Classifying Bundles.** The main result concerning a classifying space of a diffeological group G is that it classifies principal G-bundles, up to isomorphism. To establish this, we follow the topological presentation by tom Dieck (see [tD, Sections 14.3, 14.4]). While many of the proofs only require slight modifications to ensure smoothness, some such as the proof to Proposition 3.11 requires the development of some diffeological theory related to the D-topology and homotopy, which appears in Lemmas 3.8, 3.9, and 3.10. To make the classification result precise, we introduce the following notation.

Definition 3.5 ($\mathcal{B}_G(\cdot)$ and $[\cdot, BG]$). Let G be a diffeological group, and let X be diffeological space. Denote by $\mathcal{B}_G(X)$ the set of isomorphism classes of D-numerable principal G-bundles over X, and denote by $[X, BG]$ the set of smooth homotopy classes of smooth maps $X \to BG$. Given another diffeological space Y and a smooth map $\varphi \colon X \to Y$, define $\mathcal{B}(\varphi)$ to be the pullback $\varphi^* \colon \mathcal{B}_G(Y) \to \mathcal{B}_G(X)$, and $[\varphi, BG]$ to be the pullback $\varphi^* \colon [Y, BG] \to [X, BG]$.

The main theorem of this section is the following.

Theorem 3.6 ($\mathcal{B}_G(\cdot)$ and $[\cdot, BG]$ are Naturally Isomorphic). *Let* $\mathbf{Diffeol}_{\mathrm{HSP}}$ *be the full subcategory of* **Diffeol** *consisting of Hausdorff, second-countable, smoothly paracompact diffeological spaces, and let G be a diffeological group. Then, $\mathcal{B}_G(\cdot)$ and $[\cdot, BG]$ are naturally isomorphic functors from* $\mathbf{Diffeol}_{\mathrm{HSP}}$ *to* **Set**.

To prove this, we begin by constructing a correspondence $[X, BG] \to \mathcal{B}_G(X)$.

Proposition 3.7 (Pullback Bundles). *Let X be a diffeological space, and let G be a diffeological group. For any smooth map $F \colon X \to BG$ the pullback bundle F^*EG is weakly D-numerable. Additionally, if X is Hausdorff and smoothly paracompact, then F^*EG is D-numerable.*

Proof. Let $F \colon X \to BG$ be a smooth map. Let the collection $\{\zeta_j \colon BG \to [0,1]\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the partition of unity in the proof of Proposition 3.4. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\xi_j \colon X \to [0,1]$ by $\xi_j := \zeta_j \circ F$. Then $\{\xi_j\}$ is a pointwise-finite smooth partition of unity, and we have an open cover of X given by open sets $W_j := \xi_j^{-1}(0,1]$. We now show that F^*EG is trivial over each W_j.

Recall

$$F^*EG = \{(x, (t_i g_i)) \in X \times EG \mid F(x) = [t_i g_i]\},$$

where for all $k \in G$ we have the right action $(x, (t_i g_i)) \cdot k = (x, (t_i g_i k^{-1}))$. Define $\widetilde{F} \colon F^*EG \to EG$ to be the second projection map. Define $\psi_j \colon \pi^{-1}(W_j) \to W_j \times G$ by

$$\psi_j(x, (t_i g_i)) := (x, g_j).$$

Then ψ_j is well-defined, smooth, G-equivariant, and has a smooth inverse. It follows that F^*EG is weakly D-numerable.

If X is Hausdorff and smoothly paracompact, we can choose an appropriate open refinement of $\{W_j\}$ and smooth partition of unity subordinate to it. This completes the proof. □

To ensure that the correspondence $[X, BG] \to \mathcal{B}_G(X)$ is well-defined, we must show that smoothly homotopic maps yield isomorphic bundles. This is Proposition 3.11. To prove this, we need to add another topological constraint, second-countability, and a series of lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. *Let X be a Hausdorff, paracompact, second-countable diffeological space, and let $\pi\colon E \to X \times \mathbb{R}$ be a diffeological fibre bundle. Then for any $a < b$, there exists a countable locally finite open cover $\mathcal{U}$ of X such that π is trivial over $U \times [a, b]$ for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{R}$ is locally compact, the D-topology on $X \times \mathbb{R}$ is equal to the product topology by Item 4 of Remark 2.8. Fix a local trivialisation $\mathcal{V}$ of π, and fix $x \in X$. Since $[a, b]$ is compact there exist $k > 0$, for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$ an open interval (s_i, t_i) such that $\bigcup_i (s_i, t_i) \supseteq [a, b]$, and for each i an open neighbourhood U_i of x such that $U_i \times (s_i, t_i)$ is contained in an element of $\mathcal{V}$.

Let $U = \bigcap_i U_i$. By [IZ13, Note 1 of Article 8.16 and Lemma 1 of Article 8.19], π is trivial over $U \times [a, b]$. Now, take such an open neighbourhood U for each $x \in X$; this is an open cover of X. We now take an appropriate refinement of this cover to obtain $\mathcal{U}$. □

It is standard that given a normal topological space, one can find a continuous function that separates disjoint closed sets. We need a smooth version of this fact.

Lemma 3.9. *Let X be a Hausdorff, smoothly paracompact diffeological space, and let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of X. Then there exists a smooth function $f\colon X \to [0, 1]$ such that $f|_A \equiv 0$ and $f|_B \equiv 1$.*

Proof. Since X is Hausdorff and paracompact, it is normal. Fix a continuous function $g\colon X \to [0, 1]$ such that $g|_A \equiv 0$ and $g|_B \equiv 1$. For each $x \in X$, we let U_x be an open neighbourhood of x satisfying the following:

(1) if $x \in A$, then $U_x \cap B = \emptyset$;
(2) if $x \in B$, then $U_x \cap A = \emptyset$;
(3) if $x \in X \setminus (A \cup B)$, then $U_x \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$.

Since X is smoothly paracompact, there exist a locally finite refinement $\{V_\alpha\}$ of $\{U_x\}$ and a smooth partition of unity $\{\zeta_\alpha\}$ subordinate to $\{V_\alpha\}$. For each α let x_α be a point of X such that $V_\alpha \subseteq U_{x_\alpha}$. Define $f(y) := \sum_\alpha \zeta_\alpha(y) g(x_\alpha)$. Then f is a smooth function satisfying the desired properties. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let X be a Hausdorff, second-countable, smoothly paracompact diffeological space, and let $\pi\colon E \to X \times \mathbb{R}$ be a D-numerable diffeological fibre bundle. Then $E|_{X \times \{0\}}$ is bundle-diffeomorphic to $E|_{X \times \{1\}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.8, there exists a locally finite open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of X such that π is trivial over $U_i \times [0, 1]$ for each i. Let $\{(U_i \times [0, 1], \psi_i)\}$ be the corresponding local

trivialisation for π restricted over $X \times [0,1]$. Since X is Hausdorff and paracompact, there is a locally finite open refinement $\{V_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $\{U_i\}$ such that $\overline{V_i} \subseteq U_i$ for each i. By Lemma 3.9 there is a family of smooth maps $\{b_i \colon X \to [0,1]\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $b_i|_{V_i} = 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}(b_i) \subseteq U_i$ for each i.

Fix i, and denote by F the fibre of π. Let $r_i \colon X \times [0,1] \to X \times [0,1]$ be the smooth map sending (x,t) to $(x, t + (1-t)b_i(x))$ and let $R_i \colon E \to E$ be the map equal to the identity over the complement of $U_i \times [0,1]$, and such that for all $(x,t,a) \in U_i \times [0,1] \times F$,

$$\psi_i \circ R_i \circ \psi_i^{-1}(x,t,a) = (x, t + (1-t)b_i(x), a).$$

The pair (r_i, R_i) form a smooth bundle map over $X \times [0,1]$, whose restriction to $E|_{X\times\{1\}}$ is the identity map. Moreover, the restriction of R_i to $E|_{X\times\{0\}}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image.

Let r be the composition of the maps r_i, taken in order: $r = \cdots \circ r_2 \circ r_1$. This is well-defined since $r_i(x) = x$ for all but finitely many i. Similarly, define R to be the composition of all R_i. The pair (r,R) is a smooth bundle map, whose restriction to $E|_{X\times\{1\}}$ is the identity map. Moreover, the restriction of R to $E|_{X\times\{0\}}$ is a diffeomorphism onto $E|_{X\times\{1\}}$. □

Proposition 3.11 (Homotopic Maps and Isomorphic Bundles). *Let X and Y be diffeological spaces, and assume that X is Hausdorff, second-countable, and smoothly paracompact. Let $f_i \colon X \to Y$ $(i = 0,1)$ be smooth maps with a smooth homotopy $H \colon X \times \mathbb{R} \to Y$ between them, and let $\pi \colon E \to Y$ be a diffeological fibre bundle. If $H^*E \to X$ is D-numerable, then the pullback bundles $f_i^*E \to X$ $(i = 0,1)$ are bundle-diffeomorphic.*

Proof. Assume that $H^*E \to X$ is D-numerable. Then there is a bundle-diffeomorphism between $H^*E|_{X\times\{0\}}$ and $H^*E|_{X\times\{1\}}$ by Lemma 3.10. But these two restricted bundles are exactly bundle-diffeomorphic to f_0^*E and f_1^*E, respectively. □

Remark 3.12. Iglesias-Zemmour proves the above proposition for diffeological fibre bundles admitting a diffeological connection; see Definition 4.1, [IZ13, Article 8.32 and Article 8.34].

We now have a well-defined correspondence $[X, BG] \to \mathcal{B}_G(X)$. To construct an inverse correspondence, we must first define a "classifying map" $X \to BG$ for a principal G-bundle $E \to X$. In order to show that the classifying map is smooth, we require that E be D-numerable with a Hausdorff and paracompact base.

Proposition 3.13 (Classifying Maps). *If $\pi \colon E \to X$ is a D-numerable principal G-bundle in which X is Hausdorff and paracompact, then there is a smooth G-equivariant map $\widetilde{F} \colon E \to BG$ which descends to a smooth map $F \colon X \to BG$, called a **classifying map** of π, such that E is isomorphic as a principal G-bundle to F^*EG.*

Proof. Let $\pi \colon E \to X$ be a D-numerable principal G-bundle in which X is Hausdorff and paracompact, and fix a locally finite local trivialisation $\{(W_j, \psi_j)\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ and a

smooth partition of unity $\{\xi_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ subordinate to $\{W_j\}$. Define a map $\widetilde{F}\colon E \to EG$ by

$$\widetilde{F}(y) := \big((\xi_i \circ \pi(y))(\mathrm{pr}_2 \circ \psi_i(y))\big).$$

Since ξ_j has support contained in W_j, $\widetilde{F}$ is well-defined.

To show that $\widetilde{F}$ is smooth, fix a plot $p\colon U \to E$. It is enough to show that $\widetilde{F} \circ p$ locally lifts to a plot of S_G. Fix $u \in U$. Since $\{W_j\}$ is a locally finite open cover, there is an open neighbourhood B of $p(u)$ such that B intersects only finitely many of the open sets $\pi^{-1}(W_j)$; without loss of generality, assume that these are $W_1, \dots, W_k$. Next, of these, only $l \leq k$ contain $p(u)$. Again, without loss of generality, assume that these are $W_1, \dots, W_l$. Since $\{\xi_j\}$ is subordinate to $\{W_j\}$, we have that the closed set $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-l} \mathrm{supp}(\xi_{l+j})$ is disjoint from $p(u)$, which itself is closed since X is Hausdorff. Furthermore, since X is also paracompact, it is normal, and so shrink B so that it only intersects $W_{l+1}, \dots, W_k$ outside of each $\mathrm{supp}(\xi_j)$ $(j = l+1, \dots, k)$.

Let $p_B := p|_{p^{-1}(B)}$ and let $\rho\colon S_G \to EG$ be the quotient map. Then, $\widetilde{F} \circ p_B = \rho \circ \sigma_B$ where $\sigma_B\colon p^{-1}(B) \to S_G$ is defined to be the smooth map $\sigma_B(u) = (t_i(u), g_i(u))$ where $g_i(u) = 1_G$ if $i > l$, $g_i(u) = \mathrm{pr}_2(\psi_i(p_B(u)))$ if $i = 1, \dots, l$, and $t_i(u) = \xi_i(\pi(p_B(u)))$ for each i. It follows that $\widetilde{F}$ is a smooth map into EG. It is also G-equivariant, and so descends to a smooth map $F\colon X \to BG$.

To show that E and F^*EG are isomorphic, define a map $\Phi\colon E \to F^*EG$ by $\Phi(y) := (\pi(y), \widetilde{F}(y))$. Then, Φ is a well-defined smooth bijection. To show that its inverse is smooth, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\sigma_j\colon W_j \to W_j \times G$ be the constant section $x \mapsto (x, 1_G)$. Fixing $x \in X$, let B be an open neighbourhood of x intersecting only finitely many W_j. Then $\Phi^{-1}|_{\pi^{-1}(B)}(x, (t_i g_i)) = \psi_j^{-1}(x, g_j)$ for any j such that $x \in W_j$, which is smooth. □

Remark 3.14. If $\pi\colon E \to X$ is a principal G-bundle that has a smooth classifying map $F\colon X \to BG$, then it follows that F^*EG is weakly D-numerable, and so by definition of a classifying map, $\pi\colon E \to X$ is also weakly D-numerable.

To show that our inverse correspondence $\mathcal{B}_G(X) \to [X, BG]$ is well-defined, we need to show that isomorphic bundles yield smoothly homotopic classifying maps. This is a consequence of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.15 (Homotopy Equivalence of Maps to EG). *Let G be a diffeological group, $E \to X$ a principal G-bundle, and $f, h\colon E \to EG$ two G-equivariant smooth maps. Then, f and h are smoothly G-equivariantly homotopic, and so descend to smoothly homotopic maps $X \to BG$.*

Proof. Denote the images of f and h by

$$f(y) = \big(s_1(y)f_1(y), s_2(y)f_2(y), \dots\big) \text{ and } h(y) = \big(t_1(y)h_1(y), t_2(y)h_2(y), \dots\big)$$

where $f_i(y), h_i(y) \in G$ and $s_i(y), t_i(y) \in [0,1]$. We will construct an homotopy H such that $H(y,0) = f(y)$ and $H(y,1) = h(y)$ for all $y \in E$. The construction will be a concatenation of homotopies that we construct now. Throughout these, we use a smooth function $b\colon \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ such that $b(\tau) = 0$ for all $\tau \leq \varepsilon$, $b(\tau) = 1$ for all $\tau \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, and $\frac{db}{d\tau} \geq 0$, for some fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$.

Let $F_1 \colon E \times [0,1] \to EG$ be defined by

$$F_1(y,\tau) := \big(s_1(y)f_1(y), b(\tau)s_2(y)f_2(y), (1-b(\tau))s_2(y)f_2(y), \\ b(\tau)s_3(y)f_3(y), (1-b(\tau))s_3(y)f_3(y), \dots\big).$$

Then F_1 is smooth, and satisfies

$$F_1(y,0) = \big(s_1(y)f_1(y), 0, s_2(y)f_2(y), 0, \dots\big) \text{ and}$$
$$F_1(y,1) = \big(s_1(y)f_1(y), s_2(y)f_2(y), 0, s_3(y)f_3(y), 0, \dots\big).$$

Similarly, for each $n > 1$, let $F_n \colon E \times [0,1] \to EG$ be defined by

$$F_n(y,\tau) := \big(s_1(y)f_1(y), \dots, s_n(y)f_n(y), b(\tau)s_{n+1}(y)f_{n+1}(y), \\ (1-b(\tau))s_{n+1}(y)f_{n+1}(y), b(\tau)s_{n+2}(y)f_{n+2}(y), \dots\big).$$

Each F_n is smooth, and $F_n(y,0) = F_{n-1}(y,1)$ for all $n > 1$.

Define $F \colon E \times [0,\infty) \to EG$ by $F(y,\tau) = F_{\lfloor \tau+1 \rfloor}(y, \tau - \lfloor \tau \rfloor)$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the floor function. Since each F_n is constant near $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = 1$ for fixed y, it follows that F is smooth. Let $c \colon [0,1) \to [0,\infty)$ be an increasing diffeomorphism ($x \mapsto \tan(\frac{\pi}{2}x)$, say), and define $F' \colon E \times [0,1) \to EG$ as the smooth composition $F \circ (\mathrm{id}_E \times c)$. Define $F'(y,1) := f(y)$. This yields a smooth extension $F' \colon E \times [0,1] \to EG$.

Similarly, for each $n > 0$, define smooth maps $S_n \colon E \times [0,1] \to EG$ by

$$S_n(y,\tau) := \big(t_1(y)h_1(y), \dots, t_{n-1}(y)h_{n-1}(y), b(\tau)t_n(y)h_n(y), \\ (1-b(\tau))t_n(y)h_n(y), b(\tau)t_{n+1}(y)h_{n+1}(y), \dots\big).$$

We have $S_n(y,0) = S_{n-1}(y,1)$ for each $n > 1$. Defining S and S' similar to F and F', by setting $S'(y,1) = h(y)$ we obtain a smooth extension $S' \colon E \times [0,1] \to EG$.

Finally, define $T \colon E \times [0,1] \to EG$ by

$$T(y,\tau) := \big((1-b(\tau))s_1(y)f_1(y), b(\tau)t_1(y)h_1(y), \\ (1-b(\tau))s_2(y)f_2(y), b(\tau)t_2(y)h_2(y), \dots\big).$$

Then T is smooth, $T(y,0) = F'(y,0)$, and $T(y,1) = S'(y,0)$.

We now define the smooth homotopy $H \colon E \times [0,1] \to EG$ between f and h by

$$H(y,\tau) := \begin{cases} F'(y, 1-b(3\tau)) & \text{for } \tau \in [0, \frac{1}{3}], \\ T(y, b(3\tau-1)) & \text{for } \tau \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}], \\ S'(y, b(3\tau-2)) & \text{for } \tau \in [\frac{2}{3}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

The G-equivariance of H is clear. This completes the proof. □

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. The fact that $\mathcal{B}_G(\cdot)$ and $[\cdot, BG]$ are functors is clear. Fix a diffeological space from $\mathbf{Diffeol}_{\mathrm{HSP}}$. It follows from Proposition 3.7 and Proposition 3.11 that there is a map α from the set $[X, BG]$ to $\mathcal{B}_G(X)$. It follows from Proposition 3.13 and Proposition 3.15 that α has an inverse, and hence $\mathcal{B}_G(X)$ is in bijection with $[X, BG]$. Finally, this bijection is natural: given two diffeological spaces X and Y from $\mathbf{Diffeol}_{\mathrm{HSP}}$ and a smooth map $\varphi \colon X \to Y$, we have that $\mathcal{B}(\varphi)$ and $[\varphi, BG]$ commute with these bijections. □

4. Diffeological Connections and Connection 1-Forms

In [IZ13, Article 8.32] Iglesias-Zemmour gives a definition of a connection on a principal G-bundle in terms of paths on the total space, generalising the classical notion for principal bundles with structure group a finite-dimensional Lie group. From this definition one obtains the usual properties that one expects from a connection (see Remark 4.2). The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 4.3: that any principal G-bundle satisfying mild conditions admits one of these connections provided G is a regular diffeological Lie group. We do this by way of constructing a connection 1-form on the G-bundle $EG \to BG$, and showing that this induces a connection in the sense of Iglesias-Zemmour. Since connections pull back, we obtain our result.

Definition 4.1 (Diffeological Connections). Let G be a diffeological group, and let $\pi\colon E \to X$ be a principal G-bundle. Denote by $\mathrm{Paths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E)$ the diffeological space of **local paths**

$$\mathrm{Paths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E) := \{\gamma \in C^\infty((a,b),E) \mid a,b \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

equipped with the subset diffeology induced by the standard functional diffeology (see [IZ13, Article 1.63]). Denote by $\mathrm{tbPaths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E)$ the **tautological bundle of local paths**

$$\mathrm{tbPaths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E) := \{(\gamma,t) \in \mathrm{Paths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E) \times \mathbb{R} \mid t \in \mathrm{dom}(\gamma)\}.$$

A **diffeological connection** is a smooth map $\theta\colon \mathrm{tbPaths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E) \to \mathrm{Paths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E)$ satisfying the following properties for any $(\gamma,t_0) \in \mathrm{tbPaths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E)$:

(1) the domain of γ equals the domain of $\theta(\gamma,t_0)$,
(2) $\pi \circ \gamma = \pi \circ \theta(\gamma,t_0)$,
(3) $\theta(\gamma,t_0)(t_0) = \gamma(t_0)$,
(4) $\theta(\gamma \cdot g, t_0) = \theta(\gamma,t_0) \cdot g$ for all $g \in G$,
(5) $\theta(\gamma \circ f, s) = \theta(\gamma, f(s)) \circ f$ for any smooth map f from an open subset of $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathrm{dom}(\gamma)$,
(6) $\theta(\theta(\gamma,t_0),t_0) = \theta(\gamma,t_0)$.

Remark 4.2. Diffeological connections satisfy many of the usual properties that classical connections on a principal G-bundle (where G is a finite-dimensional Lie group) enjoy; in particular, they admit unique horizontal lifts of paths into the base of a principal bundle [IZ13, Article 8.32], and they pull back by smooth maps [IZ13, Article 8.33].

We now state the main purpose of this section.

Theorem 4.3 (Diffeological Connections on Principal G-Bundles). *Let G be a regular diffeological Lie group, and let X be a Hausdorff and paracompact diffeological space. Then any D-numerable principal G-bundle $E \to X$ admits a diffeological connection. Consequently, for this diffeological connection, any smooth curve into X has a unique horizontal lift to E.*

To prove this, we begin by constructing a connection 1-form on $EG \to BG$.

Definition 4.4 (Connection 1-Form). Let G be a diffeological group, and let $E \to X$ be a principal G-bundle. A **connection 1-form** on E is a G-equivariant

smooth fibrewise-linear map $\omega\colon TE \to T_eG$ (with respect to the adjoint action on $T_{1_G}G$) such that for any $y \in E$ and $\xi \in T_eG$, we have $\omega(\xi_E|_y) = \xi$ where

$$\xi_E|_y := \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(y \cdot g(t))$$

in which $g(t)$ is a curve in G such that $g(0) = e$ and $\dot{g}(0) = \xi$.

Remark 4.5. We could also think of ω in Definition 4.4 as a $T_{1_G}G$-valued 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(E) \otimes T_{1_G}G$.

The most basic connection 1-form is the Maurer-Cartan form on a diffeological group.

Lemma 4.6 (Maurer-Cartan Form). *Let G be a diffeological group. Then G has a **Maurer-Cartan form** α; that is, a smooth fibrewise-linear map $\alpha\colon TG \to T_eG$ such that $\alpha(\xi_G|_g) = \xi$ for all $\xi \in T_eG$, and α is G-equivariant with respect to right multiplication on TG and the adjoint action on $T_{1_G}G$.*

Proof. This is immediate from the trivialisation of TG given in Theorem 2.18. □

Since EG is constructed out of an infinite product of the group G, we can take the infinite sum of the Maurer-Cartan form, with coefficients t_j; since only finitely many of the t_j are non-zero, the sum converges. The goal is to show that the result is smooth.

Theorem 4.7 (Connection on EG). *Let G be a diffeological group. Then the principal G-bundle $EG \to BG$ admits a connection 1-form ω.*

Proof. Let α be the Maurer-Cartan form on G. Define $\widetilde{\omega}$ to be the smooth map $\widetilde{\omega}\colon TS_G \to T_eG$ given by

$$\widetilde{\omega}|_{(g_i,t_i)} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} t_i \mathrm{pr}_i^*\alpha.$$

Then $\widetilde{\omega}$ is a well-defined connection 1-form on the bundle $S_G \to S_G/G$.

We need to show that $\widetilde{\omega}$ descends to a form ω on EG. To do this, we use the following fact (see [IZ13, Article 6.38]): if $\rho\colon S_G \to EG$ is the quotient map, then a form μ on S_G is equal to $\rho^*\nu$ for some form ν on EG if and only if for any two plots $p_1, p_2 : U \to S_G$ satisfying $\rho \circ p_1 = \rho \circ p_2$, we have $p_1^*\mu = p_2^*\mu$. (Note that the proof of this fact does not depend on the forms being real-valued.) Fix two such plots p_1 and p_2, and fix $u \in U$ and $v \in T_uU$. It is enough for us to show

$$v \lrcorner (p_1^*\widetilde{\omega} - p_2^*\widetilde{\omega}) = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

There are only finitely many $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathrm{pr}_{t_j}(p_1(u)) \neq 0$ where pr_{t_j} is the j^{th} projection from S_G onto $[0, 1]$. Hence there are only finitely many open sets

$$\widetilde{V}_j = \{(g_i, t_i) \mid t_j \neq 0\} \subseteq S_G$$

containing $p_1(u)$. Thus, the intersection $\widetilde{V}$ of all such $\widetilde{V}_j$ is open, and its pre-image $W := p_1^{-1}(\widetilde{V}) \subseteq U$ is open. Moreover, it follows from $\rho \circ p_1 = \rho \circ p_2$ that $W = p_2^{-1}(\widetilde{V})$.

Let $c\colon \mathbb{R} \to U$ be a curve such that $c(0) = u$ and $\dot{c}(0) = v$. Then for all τ in $c^{-1}(W)$ we have $\mathrm{pr}_{g_j} \circ p_1 \circ c(\tau) = \mathrm{pr}_{g_j} \circ p_2 \circ c(\tau)$ for all j such that $t_j \neq 0$, where pr_{g_j} is the projection from S_G onto the j^{th} copy of G. It follows that

$$\alpha((\mathrm{pr}_{g_j})_*(p_1)_*v) = \alpha((\mathrm{pr}_{g_j})_*(p_2)_*v).$$

Equation (4.1) follows. □

We have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.8. *Let G be a diffeological group. Then any principal G-bundle $E \to X$ admitting a smooth classifying map has a connection 1-form. In particular, if $E \to X$ is D-numerable over a Hausdorff paracompact diffeological space X, then it admits a connection 1-form.*

Proof. This follows from the existence of a G-equivariant map $E \to EG$ (Proposition 3.13). □

In order to continue, we need the following basic lemma about differentiating plots.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $(X, \mathcal{D})$ be a diffeological space, and let $T\colon \mathcal{D} \to T\mathcal{D}$ be the map sending a plot p to its induced plot Tp. Then T is a smooth map.*

Proof. Recall the functional diffeology on $\mathcal{D}$ (see [IZ13, Article 1.63]): a parametrisation $p : U \to \mathcal{D}$ is a plot if and only if for each $u \in U$ and $v \in \mathrm{dom}(p(u))$ there exist open neighbourhoods U' of u and V' of v such that for each $u' \in U'$,

(1) $V' \subseteq \mathrm{dom}(p(u'))$, and
(2) the map $\Psi\colon U' \times V' \to X\colon (u', v') \mapsto p(u')(v')$ is in $\mathcal{D}$.

Fix a plot $p\colon U \to \mathcal{D}$. Then by the definition of the diffeology on TX and Remark 2.17 the map $T\Psi\colon TU' \times TV' \to TX$ is a plot of TX. Restricting the first coordinate of $T\Psi$ to the zero-section of TU' we get the smooth map $U' \times TV' \to TX\colon (u', w) \mapsto T\Psi|_{(u',v')}(0, w)$ where v' is the foot-point of w. Since this is smooth, by the definition of the functional diffeology we have that the map $U' \to C^\infty(TV', TX)$ sending u' to $Tp(u')$ is a plot of $T\mathcal{D}$. □

Now the main obstacle in proving Theorem 4.3 is to show that a connection 1-form on a principal G-bundle yields a diffeological connection; in particular, that you obtain a smooth map from the tautological bundle to the local paths of the total space. This is the content of the following proposition (*cf.* [Ma13, Section 2.1]).

Proposition 4.10 (Connection 1-Forms Induce Diffeological Connections). *Let G be a regular diffeological Lie group, and let $\pi\colon E \to X$ be a principal G-bundle. Then a connection 1-form ω on π induces a diffeological connection on π.*

Proof. Fix a smooth curve $\gamma\colon (a, b) \to E$ and a point $t_0 \in (a, b)$. Our first goal is to obtain a curve $g\colon (a, b) \to G$ such that $g(t_0) = e$ and the smooth curve $t \mapsto \gamma(t) \cdot g(t)$

satisfies

$$\omega\left(\frac{d(\gamma\cdot g)(t)}{dt}\right)=0.$$

We will denote $\gamma(t)\cdot g(t)$ by $\theta(\gamma,t_0)(t)$. By the chain rule (see Remark 2.17) the derivative of $\theta(\gamma,t_0)$ is $\dot\gamma\cdot g+\gamma\cdot\dot g$. Applying ω to this, we obtain the differential equation

$$\omega(\dot\gamma)=-\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}\dot g.$$

Choose $\varepsilon>0$ so that $a<t_0-\varepsilon$ and $t_0+\varepsilon<b$. After composing with a translation tr_{-t_0} sending t_0 to 0, it follows from the regularity of G that there is a smooth solution $[t_0-\varepsilon,t_0+\varepsilon]\to G$; in fact, after translating solutions and using their uniqueness, applying this procedure to each $t_0\in(a,b)$ will yield a smooth curve $g\colon(a,b)\to G$ as required. We thus have proved the existence of $\theta\colon\mathrm{tbPaths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E)\to\mathrm{Paths}_{\mathrm{loc}}(E)$.

To show that θ is smooth, note that the map $\gamma\mapsto\dot\gamma$ is smooth by Lemma 4.9, as well as ω and the exponential map in the definition of the regularity of G. Finally, the map $t_0\mapsto\mathrm{tr}_{-t_0}$ is smooth, and since θ is a composition of all of these things, smoothness follows.

It is an easy exercise to check that θ satisfies the six conditions in Definition 4.1. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 4.3. By Corollary 4.8 the principal G-bundle $\pi\colon E\to X$ has a connection 1-form. By Proposition 4.10 this induces a diffeological connection on π. In particular, we obtain horizontal lifts of smooth curves into X (see Remark 4.2). □

Remark 4.11. We end this section with the following note. If G is a *regular* diffeological *Lie* group, then by Theorem 4.3 we have a diffeological connection. In this case Lemma 3.10 (and hence Proposition 3.11) holds without any assumption on X; this is Lemma 1 from [IZ13, Article 8.19]. Since Proposition 3.15 also has no conditions on X, it follows that *if* you have two principal G-bundles (with no assumptions on them) $E\to X$ and $E'\to X$ *with classifying maps* $F\colon X\to BG$ and $F'\colon X\to BG$, then E and E' are isomorphic bundles if and only if F and F' are smoothly homotopic.

5. Applications

In this section, we apply the theory developed in the previous sections to various situations. Many of these are motivated by applications found in [IZ13], [KM], [Ma13], [Ma16], [R], and [tD].

5.1. Contractibility of EG & Homotopy of BG.

In this subsection, we show that EG is smoothly contractible, which allows us to compute the (diffeological) homotopy groups of BG in terms of those of G.

Proposition 5.1 (EG is Contractible). *Let G be a diffeological group. Then EG is smoothly contractible.*

Proof. Let $H_1 \colon EG \times [0,1] \to EG$ be a smooth homotopy given by

$$H_1((t_i g_i), 0) = (t_i g_i) \text{ and}$$
$$H_1((t_i g_i), 1) = (t_1 g_1, 0, t_2 g_2, 0, \dots),$$

using the same notation as that in the proof of Proposition 3.15. We can construct H_1 similar to how we constructed F' in the proof of Proposition 3.15. Let b be the smooth function from the same proof and let $H_2 \colon EG \times [0,1] \to EG$ be the smooth map

$$H_2((t_i g_i), \tau) = \big((1 - b(\tau))t_1 g_1, \frac{1}{2} b(\tau) 1_G, (1 - b(\tau))t_2 g_2,$$
$$\frac{1}{4} b(\tau) 1_G, (1 - b(\tau))t_3 g_3, \frac{1}{8} b(\tau) 1_G, \dots\big).$$

Concatenating H_1 and H_2 yields a smooth homotopy. Since

$$H_2((t_i g_i), 1) = \big(0, \frac{1}{2} 1_G, 0, \frac{1}{4} 1_G, \dots\big),$$

which is constant on EG, it follows that EG is smoothly contractible. □

Proposition 5.2 (Homotopy of BG). *Let G be a diffeological group. Then for each $k > 0$, we have $\pi_k(BG) \cong \pi_{k-1}(G)$.*

Proof. This is immediate from the fact that $EG \to BG$ is a principal G-bundle, Proposition 5.1, and the long exact sequence of (diffeological) homotopy groups; see [IZ13, Article 8.21]. □

Example 5.3 (Homotopy of BT_α). Fix an irrational number α. Let G be the irrational torus $T_\alpha := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^2$, where $\mathbb{Z}^2$ acts on $\mathbb{R}$ by $(m,n) \cdot x = x + m + n\alpha$. By the long exact sequence of (diffeological) homotopy groups [IZ13, Article 8.21], it is immediate that $\pi_0(T_\alpha) = \{T_\alpha\}$, $\pi_1(T_\alpha) = \mathbb{Z}^2$, and $\pi_k(T_\alpha) = 0$ for $k > 1$. It follows from Proposition 5.2 that $\pi_0(BT_\alpha) = \{BT_\alpha\}$, $\pi_1(BT_\alpha) = 0$, $\pi_2(BT_\alpha) = \mathbb{Z}^2$, and $\pi_k(BT_\alpha) = 0$ for all $k > 2$. ◇

5.2. **Smooth Homotopies of Groups and Bundles.** Here we look at how smooth group homomorphisms between diffeological groups induce smooth maps between the classifying spaces, with applications to smooth strong deformation retractions.

Proposition 5.4 (Smooth Maps Between Diffeological Groups). *Let G and H be diffeological groups, and let $\varphi \colon G \to H$ be a smooth map between them. Then φ induces a smooth map $\widetilde{\Phi} \colon EG \to EH$ defined as*

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(t_i g_i) = (t_i \varphi(g_i)).$$

Moreover, if φ is a smooth homomorphism, $\widetilde{\Phi}$ descends to a smooth map $\Phi \colon BG \to BH$.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from the definitions. □

Remark 5.5. If $\varphi_\tau \colon G \to H$ is a smooth family of maps between diffeological groups G and H, then a similar proof to the above yields a smooth family of maps $\widetilde{\Phi}_\tau \colon EG \to EH$ defined in the obvious way, and in the case of a smooth family of group homomorphisms, we obtain a smooth family of maps $\Phi_\tau \colon BG \to BH$.

Corollary 5.6 (Smooth Deformation Retracts of Bundles). *Let G be a diffeological group, and let $\Phi\colon G\times[0,1]\to G$ be a smooth strong deformation retraction of G onto a subgroup H such that for each $\tau\in[0,1]$, the map $\Phi(\cdot,\tau)\colon G\to G$ is a group homomorphism. Then, up to isomorphism, there is a smooth strong deformation retract of any D-numerable principal G-bundle over a Hausdorff and paracompact base to a principal H-bundle over the same base.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.4 and Remark 5.5, we obtain a smooth strong deformation retract $\widetilde{\Phi}_\tau$ of EG onto EH, which descends to a smooth strong deformation retract Φ_τ of BG onto BH. Let $E\to X$ be a D-numerable principal G-bundle over a Hausdorff and paracompact base X. By Proposition 3.13, there is a smooth map $\widetilde{F}\colon E\to EG$ which descends to a smooth classifying map $F\colon X\to BG$ for which E is isomorphic as a principal G-bundle to F^*EG. Composing F with Φ_τ, we obtain a smooth strong deformation retract of F^*EG onto $(\Phi_0\circ F)^*EH$, where at any τ we have

$$(\Phi_\tau\circ F)^*EG=\{(x,(t_ig_i))\mid \Phi_\tau\circ F(x)=[t_ig_i]\}.$$

□

Example 5.7 ($E(\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n;0))$). Let $G=\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n;0)$ be the diffeological group of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that fix the origin. Define $\Phi\colon G\times[0,1]\to G$ by

$$\Phi(\varphi,\tau)=\begin{cases} m_{1/\tau}\circ\varphi\circ m_\tau & \text{if } \tau\neq 0,\\ d\varphi|_0 & \text{if } \tau=0,\end{cases}$$

where $m_\tau\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is scalar multiplication by τ (which is smooth). By definition of the functional diffeology [IZ13, Article 1.57], it is an easy exercise to check that this is a smooth strong deformation retract of G onto $\mathrm{GL}(n;\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the chain rule shows that $\Phi(\cdot,\tau)$ is a group homomorphism for each τ. It follows from Proposition 5.4 that EG has a smooth strong deformation retract onto $E\mathrm{GL}(n;\mathbb{R})$, and this descends to a smooth strong deformation retract of BG onto $B\mathrm{GL}(n;\mathbb{R})$. By Corollary 5.6 we have that any D-numerable principal G-bundle over a Hausdorff paracompact base has a smooth strong deformation retract onto a principal $\mathrm{GL}(n;\mathbb{R})$-bundle over the same base. ◇

Example 5.8 ($E(\mathrm{Diff}^+(\mathbb{S}^2))$). Let $G=\mathrm{Diff}^+(\mathbb{S}^2)$ be the diffeological group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{S}^2$ (equipped with the functional diffeology). By the main result of [LW] there is a smooth strong deformation retraction $\kappa\colon G\times[0,1]\to G$ onto $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. By Proposition 5.4 and Remark 5.5, κ induces a smooth strong deformation retraction from EG to $E(\mathrm{SO}(3))$. Unfortunately, the smooth strong deformation retraction in [LW] does not give a group homomorphism from G to $\mathrm{SO}(3)$, and so the deformation retraction does not descend to BG and $B\mathrm{SO}(3)$. ◇

5.3. **Associated Fibre Bundles.** Let G be a diffeological group, and let $\pi\colon E\to X$ be a principal G-bundle. Let F be a diffeological space admitting a smooth action of G. Then we may construct the **associated bundle to π with fibre F**, denoted $\widetilde{\pi}\colon\widetilde{E}\to X$, as follows: let G act diagonally on $E\times F$; then define $\widetilde{E}$ as the quotient $E\times_G F:=(E\times F)/G$. Any diffeological fibre bundle with structure group G can be constructed as an associated fibre bundle of some principal G-bundle. See [IZ13, Article 8.16] for more details. Note that a fibre bundle is (weakly) D-numerable if

and only if the associated principal G-bundle is (weakly) D-numerable. We have the following corollary to Theorem 3.6:

Corollary 5.9 (Classification of Fibre Bundles). *Let $\mathcal{B}_G^F(\cdot)$ be the functor from* $\mathbf{Diffeol}_{\mathrm{HSP}}$ *to* **Set** *sending an object X to the set of isomorphism classes of diffeological fibre bundles with fibre a fixed G-space F. Then $\mathcal{B}_G^F(\cdot)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_G(\cdot)$ and to $[\cdot, BG]$.*

Using the same notation as above, let θ be a diffeological connection on E induced by a connection 1-form ω on $\pi\colon E \to X$ as in Proposition 4.10. Now $\omega \oplus 0$ is a $T_{1_G}G$-valued 1-form on $E \times F$, and one can check using the fact that π is D-numerable that ω descends to a $T_{1_G}G$-valued 1-form $\omega_{\widetilde{E}}$ on $\widetilde{E}$, which we call a **connection 1-form** on $\widetilde{E}$.

Definition 5.10 (Horizontal Lifts on Associated Bundles). Let $\widetilde{\pi}\colon \widetilde{E} \to X$ be a diffeological fibre bundle, let $c\colon (a,b) \to X$ be a smooth curve, and fix $z \in \widetilde{E}$ and $t_0 \in (a,b)$ such that $\widetilde{\pi}(z) = c(t_0)$. A curve $c_{\widetilde{E}}\colon (a,b) \to \widetilde{E}$ is a **horizontal lift** of c through z if

(1) $\widetilde{\pi} \circ c_{\widetilde{E}} = c$,
(2) $c_{\widetilde{E}}(t_0) = z$,
(3) $\omega_{\widetilde{E}}\left(\frac{d}{dt} c_{\widetilde{E}}(t)\right) = 0$.

Proposition 5.11 (Horizontal Lifts on Associated Bundles Exist). *Let G be a regular diffeological Lie group, F a diffeological space with a fixed action of G, X a Hausdorff paracompact diffeological space, and $\pi\colon E \to X$ a D-numerable principal G-bundle. Equip E with the connection 1-form ω from above. Then for any smooth curve $c\colon (a,b) \to X$, and any $z \in \widetilde{E}$, there is a horizontal lift $c_{\widetilde{E}}\colon (a,b) \to \widetilde{E}$ through z.*

Proof. Take $c_{\widetilde{E}}(t) := \rho_{\widetilde{E}}(\theta(c, t_0)(t), z')$, where $\rho_{\widetilde{E}}\colon E \times F \to \widetilde{E}$ is the quotient map and $z' \in F$ such that $\rho_{\widetilde{E}}(\theta(c, t_0)(t_0), z') = z$. It is clear that this definition is independent of the choice of z'. This satisfies all of properties required in Definition 5.10. □

Remark 5.12. We do not mention uniqueness of horizontal lifts in Proposition 5.11. This requires the uniqueness of solutions to the initial-value problem given by the differential equation in Item (3) with initial condition as given in Item (2), both in Definition 5.10. It is not immediately clear under what conditions this would hold on a general diffeological space.

Example 5.13 ($\mathrm{Diff}(F)$**-Bundles).** Let $\pi\colon \widetilde{E} \to X$ be a diffeological fibre bundle with fibre F and structure group $G = \mathrm{Diff}(F)$. Define the set $\mathcal{FR}$ to be all commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{f} & \widetilde{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \{*\} & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

where f is a diffeomorphism onto a fibre of $\widetilde{E}$. Equip $\mathcal{FR}$ with the subset diffeology induced by $C^\infty(F, \widetilde{E})$. One may think of this as a "non-linear frame bundle" of the

bundle $\widetilde{E}$. From above, we have that $\mathcal{FR} \times_{\mathrm{Diff}(F)} F \cong \widetilde{E}$, and by Corollary 5.9, we have that all isomorphism classes of such bundles $\widetilde{E}$ are in natural bijection with all principal $\mathrm{Diff}(F)$-bundles, which in turn are in natural bijection with smooth homotopy classes of maps $X \to B\mathrm{Diff}(F)$, provided that the bundles are D-numerable, and X has Hausdorff, second-countable, and smoothly paracompact topology.

If F is a smooth manifold, then $\mathrm{Diff}(F)$ is a regular diffeological Lie group [KM, Theorem 43.1], and so provided that $\widetilde{E} \to X$ is a D-numerable principal $\mathrm{Diff}(F)$-bundle, and the topology on X is Hausdorff, second-countable, and smoothly paracompact, then by Proposition 5.11 $\widetilde{E}$ has a diffeological connection, which in turn gives us horizontal lifts of curves. Moreover, if F is compact, then these horizontal lifts are unique (see Remark 5.12). ⋄

5.4. **Limit of Groups & ILB Principal Bundles.** We consider in this subsection limits of diffeological group, and in particular infinite-dimensional groups. We rely heavily on [O] for terminology.

Fix a small category J, which we will think of as our "index category". Let **DGroup** be the category of diffeological groups with smooth group homomorphisms between them, and let $F\colon J \to \mathbf{DGroup}$ be a functor. Denote by G_j the image $F(j)$ for each object j of J, and by φ_f the image $F(f)$ for each arrow f in J. Let $G = \lim F$ be the limit taken in the category of diffeological spaces; in particular, there is a smooth map $\varphi_j\colon G \to G_j$ for each object j in J such that if $f\colon j_1 \to j_2$ is an arrow in J, then $\varphi_f \circ \varphi_{j_1} = \varphi_{j_2}$.

Proposition 5.14 (Limit of Diffeological Groups). *Let F be the functor above, and define $G = \lim F$. Then G is a diffeological group.*

Proof. Recall that both the category of diffeological spaces and the category of groups are complete, and limits are constructed on the set-theoretical level:

$$G = \left\{ (g_j) \in \prod_{j \in J_0} G_j \;\middle|\; \forall (f\colon j_1 \to j_2) \in J_1,\ \varphi_f \circ \mathrm{pr}_{j_1}((g_j)) = \mathrm{pr}_{j_2}((g_j)) \right\}$$

where J_0 is the set of objects of J, J_1 the set of arrows, and pr_j is the j^{th} projection onto G_j. The diffeology is given by the intersection of all pullback diffeologies on G via the maps $\varphi_j := \mathrm{pr}_j$. The group multiplication on G is given by the coordinate-wise product: $(g_j)(h_j) := (g_j h_j)$. It follows that the maps φ_j are group homomorphisms, from which it follows that multiplication and inversion are smooth maps $G \times G \to G$ and $G \to G$, respectively. Hence, G is a diffeological group. □

Remark 5.15. Let G be the diffeological group constructed as a limit above. Then for every object j of J, from Proposition 5.4 we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EG & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\Phi}_j} & EG_j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG & \xrightarrow[\Phi_j]{} & BG_j. \end{array}$$

Moreover, for any arrow $f\colon j_1 \to j_2$ of J, we obtain a commutative diagram:



where all of the maps are the induced ones via Proposition 5.4.

We now apply the theory developed to ILB-manifolds and ILB-bundles. Technically, our definition of an ILB-manifold below is only a special case of the definition given in [O, Definition I.1.9]; however, it is sufficient for our needs. For this application to make sense, we must first note that infinite-differentiability in the Fréchet sense is equivalent to smoothness in the diffeological sense when we equip a Fréchet space/manifold with the diffeology comprising Fréchet infinitely-differentiable parametrisations. Moreover, Fréchet spaces form a full subcategory of diffeological spaces under this identification. See, for example, [Lo, Theorem 3.1.1], [BIZKW]. Note that the limits used in the following definitions can be taken in either the Fréchet category or the diffeological category: in our cases below they coincide.

Definition 5.16 (ILB-Manifolds & Bundles).

(1) An **ILB-manifold** M is the limit in the category of Fréchet manifolds of a family of Banach manifolds $\{M_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in which there is a smooth and dense inclusion $M_{n+1} \hookrightarrow M_n$ for each n, and such that there exists a Banach atlas on M_0 that restricts to an atlas on M_n for each n.
(2) An **ILB-map** f between two ILB-manifolds M and N is a smooth map $f\colon M \to N$ along with a family of smooth maps $\{f_n\colon M_n \to N_n\}$ such that f and all f_n commute with all inclusions maps $M \hookrightarrow M_{n+1} \hookrightarrow M_n$ and $N \hookrightarrow N_{n+1} \hookrightarrow N_n$.
(3) An **ILB-principal bundle** is an ILB-map between two ILB-manifolds $(\pi\colon P \to M,\ \pi_n\colon P_n \to M_n)$ such that for each n, the map $\pi_n\colon P_n \to M_n$ is a principal G_n-bundle where G_n is a Banach Lie group.
(4) An ILB-map F between two ILB-principal bundles $\pi\colon P \to M$ and $\pi'\colon P' \to M'$ is an **ILB-bundle map** if $F_n\colon P_n \to P'_n$ is a (G_n-equivariant) bundle map for each n. Note that F induces an ILB-map $M \to M'$. An ILB-bundle map is an **ILB-bundle isomorphism** if it has an inverse ILB-bundle map.

It follows from the above definitions that given an ILB-principal bundle $\pi\colon P \to M$, the structure groups $\{G_n\}$ of the principal bundles $\{\pi_n\colon P_n \to M_n\}$ satisfy: G_{n+1} is smoothly and densely included in G_n for each n. Hence $\pi\colon P \to M$ is a

principal G-bundle where $G = \lim G_n$. An ILB-bundle map from $\pi\colon P \to M$ to $\pi'\colon P' \to M'$ is thus necessarily G-equivariant.

Definition 5.17 (ILB-Classifying Maps & Homotopies).

(1) Given an ILB-principal bundle $\pi\colon P \to M$ with structure group G, an **ILB-classifying map** $F\colon M \to BG$ is a classifying map for π such that there is a classifying map $F_n\colon M_n \to BG_n$ for each n, the following diagram commutes for all n,



and these maps commute with the inclusion maps $EG \hookrightarrow EG_{n+1} \hookrightarrow EG_n$ and $BG \hookrightarrow BG_{n+1} \hookrightarrow BG_n$ as in Remark 5.15.

(2) An **ILB-homotopy** between two ILB-classifying maps $F, F'\colon M \to BG$ for ILB-principal bundles $P \to M$ and $P' \to M$ each with structure group G is a family of smooth homotopies $H_n\colon M_n \times [0,1] \to BG_n$ such that $H_n(\cdot, 0) = F_n$ and $H_n(\cdot, 1) = F'_n$ for each n, and a smooth homotopy $H\colon M \times [0,1] \to BG$ such that $H(\cdot, 0) = F$ and $H(\cdot, 1) = F'$, and finally each H_n and H commute with the maps in Remark 5.15.

Let $\pi\colon P \to M$ be an ILB-principal bundle, and assume that each M_n is a Hilbert manifold (in this case, we say that M is an **ILH-manifold**). Hilbert manifolds are smoothly paracompact [KM, Corollary 16.16], and (smooth) partitions of unity pull back. Hence, a smooth partition of unity subordinate to a local trivialisation of $\pi_0\colon P_0 \to M_0$ induces similar partitions of unity for each $\pi_n\colon P_n \to M_n$, and on $\pi\colon P \to M$. Thus, in this case, each $\pi_n\colon P_n \to M_n$, as well as $\pi\colon P \to M$, is D-numerable.

Given an ILB-principal bundle $\pi\colon P \to M$ with ILB group G and ILH base as above, by Proposition 3.13 there is a classifying map $F\colon M \to BG$ and so $\pi\colon P \to M$ is isomorphic to F^*EG. Moreover, for each n, there is a classifying map $F_n\colon M_n \to BG_n$ and so P_n is isomorphic to $F_n^*EG_n$. It follows from Remark 5.15 that we obtain an ILB-classifying map for $\pi\colon P \to M$. From Theorem 3.6 and Remark 5.15 we obtain the following proposition:

Proposition 5.18. *Let $\pi\colon P \to M$ be an ILB-principal G-bundle in which $M = \lim M_n$ is an ILH-manifold. Then π has an ILB-classifying map. Moreover, smoothly homotopic ILB-classifying maps yield isomorphic ILB-principal bundles yield ILB-homotopic ILB-classifying maps.*

5.5. **Group Extensions.** Fix a short exact sequence of diffeological groups

$$1 \to G \to G' \to G'' \to 1. \tag{5.1}$$

By Proposition 5.4, we obtain the following commutative diagram of diffeological spaces.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} EG & \longrightarrow & EG' & \longrightarrow & EG'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BG & \longrightarrow & BG' & \longrightarrow & BG'' \end{array}$$

Each vertical arrow is a principal bundle, and so each vertical arrow induces a long exact sequence of diffeological homotopy groups (see [IZ13, Article 8.21]). Also, the short exact sequence of groups (5.1) induces a long exact sequence of diffeological homotopy groups. Since EG, EG', and EG'' are contractible by Proposition 5.1, via Proposition 5.2 we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 5.19 (Long Exact Sequence of Classifying Spaces). *Given a short exact sequence of diffeological Lie groups as in* (5.1)*, we obtain a long exact sequence*

$$\cdots \to \pi_{n+1}(BG'') \to \pi_n(BG) \to \pi_n(BG') \to \pi_n(BG'') \to \pi_{n-1}(BG) \to \dots \ .$$

Example 5.20 ($\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$). Consider the rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ as a diffeologically discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. We have the short exact sequence of diffeological groups

$$1 \to \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} \to 1.$$

From the above, we get a long exact sequence of homotopy groups:

$$\cdots \to \pi_{n+1}(B(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})) \to \pi_n(B\mathbb{Q}) \to \pi_n(B\mathbb{R}) \to \pi_n(B(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})) \to \pi_{n-1}(B\mathbb{Q}) \to \dots \ .$$

It follows from this long exact sequence and Proposition 5.2 that

$$\begin{gathered} \pi_0(B(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})) = \{B(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})\}, \\ \pi_1(B(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})) \cong 1, \\ \pi_2(B(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})) \cong \mathbb{Q}, \text{ and} \\ \pi_k(B(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q})) \cong 1 \text{ for all } k \geq 3. \end{gathered}$$

Of course, we could have used other easier means of computing these, but the point of this example is to illustrate what one could do with Proposition 5.19. ⋄

Using short exact sequences is very frequent in the study of infinite-dimensional Lie groups for constructing new groups. For example:

Example 5.21 ($\mathrm{Diff}(M)$-Pseudo-Differential Operators). Consider the $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$-pseudo-differential operators $FIO^{0,*}_{\mathrm{Diff}}$ described in [Ma16]. Given a Hermitian bundle $E \to M$ over a closed manifold M, the group $FIO^{0,*}_{\mathrm{Diff}}(M, E)$ is a group of Fourier integral operators acting on smooth sections of E, which can be seen as a central extension of the group of diffeomorphisms $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ by the group of 0-order

invertible pseudo-differential operators acting on smooth sections of E, with exact sequence:

$$0 \to PDO^{0,*}(M,E) \to FIO^{0,*}_{\mathrm{Diff}}(M,E) \to \mathrm{Diff}(M) \to 0.$$

We obtain a long exact sequence of homotopy groups:

$$\cdots \to \pi_{n+1}(B\mathrm{Diff}(M)) \to \pi_n\left(B(PDO^{0,*}(M,E))\right) \to \pi_n\left(B(FIO^{0,*}_{\mathrm{Diff}}(M,E))\right) \to$$
$$\pi_n(B\mathrm{Diff}(M)) \to \pi_{n-1}\left(B(PDO^{0,*}(M,E))\right) \to \dots \ .$$

$\diamond$

5.6. **Irrational Torus Bundles.** We now connect what we have done to the classical theory of Weil, in which circle (or complex line) bundles with connection over a fixed simply-connected manifold are classified by their curvatures, and conversely every integral 2-form on the manifold induces a circle bundle with connection whose curvature is that 2-form. This is extended to non-integral 2-forms in [IZ95], in which the circle bundles are replaced with irrational torus bundles. See also the more general theory on diffeological spaces in [IZ13].

Let T_α be the irrational torus, defined in Example 5.3. It is a regular diffeological Lie group (indeed, the quotient map $\mathbb{R} \to T_\alpha$ is the exponential map). Let ω be the connection 1-form on ET_α constructed in Theorem 4.7, and let $\pi\colon ET_\alpha \to BT_\alpha$ be the projection map.

Lemma 5.22. *The 2-form $d\omega$ is basic; that is, there exists a unique $T_{1_G}G$-valued 2-form Ω on BT_α such that $\pi^*\Omega = d\omega$.*

Remark 5.23. This statement in fact holds for any abelian diffeological group G. We prove this more general statement below.

Proof. We again use the following fact (see [IZ13, Article 6.38]): $d\omega$ is the pullback of a form Ω on BG if and only if for any two plots $p_1, p_2 : U \to EG$ satisfying $\pi \circ p_1 = \pi \circ p_2$, we have $p_1^* d\omega = p_2^* d\omega$. Fix two such plots p_1 and p_2. Let $V_j \subseteq EG$ be the open set

$$V_j = \{(t_i g_i) \mid t_j \neq 0\}.$$

We have $p_1^{-1}(V_j) = p_2^{-1}(V_j) =: V$. The projection $\mathrm{pr}_{g_j}\colon V_j \to G$ sending $(t_i g_i)$ to g_j is well-defined on V_j, and so we have a smooth map $\gamma\colon V \to G$ sending u to $\mathrm{pr}_{g_j}(p_2(u))^{-1}\mathrm{pr}_{g_j}(p_1(u))$. Thus, $p_2(u) \cdot \gamma(u) = p_1(u)$ for all $u \in V$.

Fix $u \in V$ and $v \in T_u V$. It follows from the chain rule (Remark 2.17) that

$$(p_1)_* v \lrcorner\, d\omega = (p_2)_* v \lrcorner\, (\gamma(u)^* d\omega) + \eta \lrcorner\, d\omega,$$

where $\eta = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(p_1(u) \cdot g(t))$ for some smooth curve $g\colon (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to G$ such that $g(0) = 1_G$ ($\varepsilon > 0$). Since ω is invariant, we have $\gamma(u)^* d\omega = d\omega$.

Since j, u, and v are arbitrary, to complete the proof, we only need to show that $\eta \lrcorner\, d\omega = 0$. Note that this is equal to the *contraction* of $d\omega$ by the map $g_{EG}\colon (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \mathrm{Diff}(EG)$ induced by the curve g in G (see [IZ13, Article 6.57]). By the Cartan-Lie formula ([IZ13, Article 6.72]), we have

$$\eta \lrcorner\, d\omega = \pounds_g(\omega) - d(\eta \lrcorner\, \omega). \tag{5.2}$$

By definition of ω we know $\eta \lrcorner \omega = \dot{g}(0)$, and so the right term of the right-hand side of (5.2) vanishes. The left term of the right-hand side of (5.2) vanishes since ω is invariant (see [IZ13, Article 6.55] for a definition of the Lie derivative).

Uniqueness of Ω follows from the fact that π^* is injective on forms. This finishes the proof. □

We refer to Ω in Lemma 5.22 as the **curvature form** of ω. If X is any Hausdorff paracompact diffeological space, and $F\colon X \to BT_\alpha$ a smooth function, we obtain the **curvature form** $F^*\Omega$ of the connection 1-form $\widetilde{F}^*\omega$ on F^*EG by Proposition 3.13. The 2-form $F^*\Omega$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{pr}_1^* F^*\Omega = \widetilde{F}^* d\omega$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_1 \colon F^*EG \to X$ is the pullback bundle.

Let X be a connected diffeological space. In [IZ13, Article 8.40], Iglesias-Zemmour proves that every principal T_α-bundle which can be equipped with a connection 1-form induces a unique class in $H^1(\mathrm{Paths}(X), T_\alpha)$, its **characteristic class**. If additionally the bundle is D-numerable, and X Hausdorff and paracompact, then it follows from Proposition 3.13 that *all* D-numerable T_α bundles over X induce a unique class in $H^1(\mathrm{Paths}(X), T_\alpha)$.

In [IZ13, Article 8.42], Iglesias-Zemmour shows a partial converse: if X is a simply-connected (and hence connected) diffeological space, and μ is a non-zero closed 2-form on X, then there is a principal T_α-bundle on X whose curvature is μ, where T_α is the **torus of periods** of μ. If X is additionally Hausdorff and paracompact, and the T_α-bundle constructed is D-numerable, then $\mu = F^*\Omega$, where F is the classifying map of the T_α-bundle, and Ω is the curvature form on BT_α.

References

[BIZKW] Augustin Batubenge, Patrick Iglesias-Zemmour, Yael Karshon, and Jordan Watts, "Diffeological, Frölicher, and differential spaces" (in progress).
`http://euclid.colorado.edu/~jowa8403/papers/reflexive.pdf`

[BH] John C. Baez and Alexander E. Hoffnung, "Convenient categories of smooth spaces", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **363** (2011), 5789–5825.

[CSW] J. Daniel Christensen, Gordon Sinnamon, Enxin Wu, "The D-topology for diffeological spaces", *Pacific J. Math.* **272** (2014), 87–110.

[CW] J. Daniel Christensen and Enxin Wu, "Tangent spaces and tangent bundles for diffeological spaces", *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle* (to appear).

[Cr] Marius Crainic, "Prequantization and Lie brackets", *J. Symplectic Geom.* **2** (2004), 579–602.

[IZ95] Patrick Iglesias, "La trilogie du moment", *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **45** (1995), 825–857.

[IZ13] Patrick Iglesias-Zemmour, *Diffeology, Math. Surveys and Monographs 185*, Amer. Math. Soc., 2013.

[KM] Andreas Kriegl and Peter W. Michor, *The Convenient Setting for Global Analysis, Math. Surveys and Monographs 53*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.

[KW] Boris Khesin and Robert Wendt, *The Geometry of Infinite-Dimensional Groups, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, 51*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

[Le] Joshua Leslie, "On a diffeological group realization of certain generalized symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebras", *J. Lie Theory* **13** (2003), 427–442.

[LW] Jiayong Li and Jordan Watts, "The orientation-preserving diffeomorphism group of $\mathbb{S}^1$ deforms to $SO(3)$ smoothly", *Transformation Groups* **16** (2011), 537–553.

[Lo] Mark V. Losik, "Categorical differential geometry", *Cah. Topol. Géom. Différ. Catég.*, **35** (1994), 274–290.

[Ma11] Jean-Pierre Magnot, "A non regular Frölicher Lie group of diffeomorphisms", 5 pages (preprint)
`http://arxiv.org/pdf/1101.2370v4.pdf`

[Ma13] Jean-Pierre Magnot, "Ambrose-Singer theorem on diffeological bundles and complete integrability of the KP equation", *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **10** (2013), 31 pp.

[Ma15] Jean-Pierre Magnot, *q-Deformed Lax Equations and their Differential Geometric Background*, Lambert Academic Publishing, Saarbrucken, Germany, 2015.

[Ma16] Jean-Pierre Magnot, "Diff(M)-pseudo-differential operators and the geometry of nonlinear grassmannians", *Mathematics* **4** (2016), 1.

[Mi] John Milnor, "Construction of universal bundles, II", *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **63** (1956), 430–436.

[Mo] Mark A. Mostow, "The differentiable space structures of Milnor classifying spaces, simplicial complexes, and geometric realizations", *J. Differential Geom.* **14** (1979), 255–293.

[N] Karl-Hermann Neeb, "Towards a Lie theory for locally convex groups," *Jpn. J. Math.* **1** (2006), 291–468.

[O] Hideki Omori, *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups, Trans. Math. Monographs 158*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.

[P] Ekaterina Pervova, "Diffeological vector pseudo-bundles", *Topology Appl.* **202** (2016), 269–300.

[R] Steven Rosenberg, "Chern-Weil theory for certain infinite-dimensional Lie groups, Lie Groups: Structure, Actions, and Representations", *Progr. Math.* **306** (2013), Birkhuser/Springer, New York, 355–380.

[tD] Tammo tom Dieck, *Algebraic Topology, EMS Textbooks in Mathematics*, European Mathematical Society, (2008).

[Wa] Jordan Watts, *Diffeologies, Differential Spaces and Symplectic Geometry*, PhD thesis, University of Toronto, (2012).
`http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.3634`

[We] Alan Weinstein, "Cohomology of symplectomorphism groups and critical values of Hamiltonians", *Math. Z.* **201** (1989), 75–82.

Lycée Jeanne d'Arc, Avenue de Grande Bretagne, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand

E-mail address: `jean-pierr.magnot@ac-clermont.fr`

Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado Boulder, Campus Box 395, Boulder, CO, USA 80309.

E-mail address: `jordan.watts@colorado.edu`